United States District Court Southern District of Texas

| Case | Number: 5 | -65 | |
|------|-----------|-----|--|
| | | | |

ATTACHMENT

| De | Scription. | 2 | | | |
|--------|------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|--|--|
| | State Cour | t Record | ☐ State Court Record Continued | | |
| | Administrative Record | | | | |
| | Document continued - Part of | | | | |
| 尺 I | Exhibit to: | m/S/O/A number(s) //e | etter(s) Ex. D - D | | |
| Oth | er: | | | | |

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS GALVESTON DIVISION

| TIMOTHY JAMES POOLE | § | CIVIL ACTION NO. |
|-----------------------------|---|-----------------------------|
| | Š | |
| vs. | Š | |
| | Š | Pursuant to Rule 9(h) of |
| KIRBY INLAND MARINE, LP and | Š | the Federal Rules of |
| KIRBY CORPORATION | Š | Civil Procedure - ADMIRALTY |

PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT

TO THE HONORABLE UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE:

COMES NOW TIMOTHY JAMES POOLE, Plaintiff, complaining of KIRBY INLAND MARINE, LP and KIRBY CORPORATION, Defendants, and, for cause of action, would respectfully show unto this Honorable Court the following:

1.

- 1. Plaintiff TIMOTHY JAMES POOLE is a citizen and resident of the Southern District of Texas.
- 2. Defendant KIRBY INLAND MARINE, LP, is a foreign limited partnership with its principal place of business in the Southern District of Texas, doing business in this District and in the state of Texas for the purpose of accumulating monetary profit, and may be served with process through its registered agent: CT Corporation System, 350 North St. Paul Street, Dallas, Texas 75201.
- 3. Defendant KIRBY CORPORATION, is a Nevada corporation with its principal place of business in the Southern District of Texas, doing business in this District and in the state of Texas for the purpose of accumulating monetary profit, and may be served with

process through its registered agent: CT Corporation System, 350 North St. Paul Street, Dallas, Texas 75201.

II.

This is a case of admiralty and maritime jurisdiction, brought pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1333, the general maritime law and the Jones Act 46 U.S.C. § 688, as hereinafter more fully appears. This is an admiralty or maritime claim within the meaning of Rule 9(h), and is brought pursuant to Rule 9(h).

III.

Venue is proper in this matter pursuant to the admiralty and maritime laws of the United States, Rule 82 FRCP.

IV.

Plaintiff is an American seaman and brings this action pursuant to 46 U.S.C. § 688 and pursuant to the 28 U.S.C. § 1333 and the general maritime law of the United States. As such, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1916, Plaintiff brings this action without the necessity of pre-payment of costs, fees or the necessity of furnishing security therefor.

V.

At all material times hereto, Defendants were the owners and/or operators of the KIRBY 11003, the vessel on which Plaintiff was injured, a vessel operating on the navigable waters of the United States of America.

VI.

At all material times hereto, Plaintiff was an employee of Defendants, acting within the course and scope of his employment as a seaman and in the service of the vessel.

VII.

On or about July 27, 2005, as Plaintiff was performing his regular duties while in the service of Defendants' vessel, he sustained severe and disabling injuries to his hand, among other injuries, when he tripped and fell over an obstruction on Defendants' catwalk. Said injuries were caused in whole or in part by the negligence of the Defendants, their agents, servants and/or employees and/or was legally caused by the unseaworthiness of the vessel.

VIII.

On or about July 27, 2005, Plaintiff was working as a tankerman and member of the crew of the vessel owned and operated by Defendants. While Plaintiff was performing duties in the vessel's service, he sustained injuries as described above. Said Defendants failed in their duty to provide Plaintiff with a safe and seaworthy vessel on which to work.

IX.

By reason of the occurrence made the basis of this action, including the conduct on the part of the Defendants, Plaintiff sustained severe bodily injuries as described above. As a result of said injuries, Plaintiff has suffered physical pain and mental anguish and, in reasonable medical probability, will continue to do so for the balance of his natural life. As a result of the foregoing injuries, the Plaintiff has suffered a loss of wages in the past, and a loss of capacity to work and earn money in the future and, in reasonable probability, his earning capacity has been impaired permanently. Additionally, Plaintiff has incurred reasonable and necessary medical expenses in the past and, in reasonable probability, will incur reasonable medical expenses in the future. Additionally, as a result of the injuries

XII. WRONGFUL TERMINATION

Plaintiff would further show that he was wrongfully terminated by Defendants and/or discharged by Defendants in retaliation for his claim and injuries made the basis of this suit.

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Plaintiff, TIMOTHY JAMES POOLE, prays for judgment against Defendants, for all costs of Court, and for all such other and further relief, at law and in equity, to which he may be justly entitled.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Francis I. Spagnoletti

Francis I. Spagnoletti State Bar No. 18869600 1600 Smith, 45th Floor Houston, Texas 77002 Telephone: 713-653-5600

Facsimile: 713-653-5656

OF COUNSEL:

SPAGNOLETTI & CO. 1600 Smith, 45th Floor Houston, Texas 77002 Telephone: 713-653-56

Telephone: 713-653-5600 Facsimile: 713-653-5656

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS GALVESTON DIVISION

United States Courts
Southern District of Texas
FILED

JAN 11 2006

| TIMOTHY JAMES POOLE | § | Michael N. Milby, Clerk of Court |
|---|------------------|---|
| vs. | § § | C.A. NO. G05651 |
| KIRBY INLAND MARINE, LP and KIRBY CORPORATION | 9 69 69 69 69 | Pursuant to Rule 9(h) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure - ADMIRALTY |

DEFENDANT KIRBY CORPORATION'S ORIGINAL ANSWER

COMES NOW Defendant, KIRBY CORPORATION and files its Original Answer, and would show unto this Honorable Court the following:

FIRST DEFENSE

1. Pursuant to Rule 12(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Defendant avers that venue is improper herein.

SECOND DEFENSE

2. Plaintiff has failed to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, and therefore Plaintiff's Original Complaints should be dismissed pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6).

THIRD DEFENSE

3. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing defenses, Defendant would show that the injuries alleged by Plaintiff were not incurred in the service or furtherance of this vessel, and therefore that he is unable to recover under the Jones Act as well as unable to recover maintenance and cure.

FOURTH DEFENSE

- 4. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing defenses, Defendant specifically deny the allegations of Plaintiff's Original Complaint as follows:
 - a. Defendant cannot admit nor deny the allegations of Plaintiff's residence.

 Defendant admits that its principle place of business is located in Harris

 County, Texas, which is located within the Southern District of Texas.
 - b. Defendant denies that this action may be maintained under the Jones Act as asserted in Paragraph II of Plaintiff's Original Complaint, as Plaintiff is not a Jones Act seaman as that term is defined. Plaintiff admits that this Court has admiralty and maritime jurisdiction as a result of the Longshore and Harborworkers Compensation Act, 33 U.S.C. 901.
 - c. Defendant denies to venue being proper in the Southern District of Texas, Galveston Division, but admits as to venue being proper in the Southern District of Texas, Houston Division, for this matter.
 - d. Defendant denies Plaintiff's allegations contained in paragraph IV, and alleges that Plaintiff is not an American seaman, and is not entitled to bring this action as an American seaman, and therefore is not entitled to the relief requested.
 - e. Defendant denies Plaintiff's allegations contained in paragraph V.
 - f. Defendant denies that Plaintiff was an employee of Defendant on July 27, 2005, and denies that Plaintiff was acting within the course and scope of his employment as a seaman and in the service of the vessel at the time of this alleged incident.

- g. Defendant denies that Plaintiff was performing his regular duties in service of the vessel, as alleged in paragraph VII, and further denies the entirety of allegations contained in paragraph VII.
- h. Defendant admits that Plaintiff was working as a tankerman, but denies that Plaintiff was a member of the crew of the vessel as is alleged in paragraph VIII, and that Plaintiff was injured while performing duties in the vessel's service. Defendant denies the remainder of this paragraph in its entirety.
- i. Defendant denies the entirety of paragraph IX, as alleged by Plaintiff.
- j. Defendant alleges that some pre-existing conditions existed with regards

 Plaintiff, and denies the remainder of paragraph X as alleged by Plaintiff.
- k. Defendant denies a duty to provide maintenance and cure to Plaintiff, as Plaintiff is not a seaman as that term is defined. Defendant denies Plaintiff's allegations in paragraph XI in their entirety.
- 1. Defendant denies Plaintiff's allegations made in paragraph XII in their entirety.

FIFTH DEFENSE

5. For further answer, if such is necessary, Defendant would show that if Plaintiff was injured, such injury was a proximate result, in whole or in part, of Plaintiff's own contributory negligence and any damages to which Plaintiff is entitled should be reduced in an amount in proportion to the extent that the Plaintiff's negligence contributed to the same.

SIXTH DEFENSE

6. For further answer, if such is necessary, Defendant would show that if Plaintiff was injured, then said injury or injuries were proximately caused by or contributed to by the actions of the Plaintiff.

SEVENTH DEFENSE

7. For further answer, if such is necessary, Defendant would show that the physical condition, if any, of which Plaintiff complains was and is due to the acts and/or omissions of third persons and/or instrumentalities not under the control of the Defendant and for which Defendant is not legally responsible.

EIGHTH DEFENSE

8. For further answer, if such is necessary, Defendant would show that Plaintiff's alleged injuries and damages, if any, are solely and/or partially the result of pre-existing bodily conditions and/or prior injuries, for which Defendant is not responsible.

NINTH DEFENSE

9. For further answer, if such is necessary, Defendant would show that Plaintiff's alleged injuries and damages, if any were not caused or contributorily caused by Defendant, or anyone for whom Defendant is responsible.

TENTH DEFENSE

10. For further answer, if such is necessary, Defendant would show that Plaintiff's alleged injuries and damages, if any, are solely and/or partially the result of subsequent bodily conditions and/or subsequent injuries, for which this Defendant is not responsible.

ELEVENTH DEFENSE

11. For further answer, if such is necessary, Defendant would show that Plaintiff's claims against Defendant are governed exclusively by the Longshore and Harbor Worker's Compensation Act (the LHWCA) 33 U.S.C. § 901, et. Seq., as construed by the U.S. Supreme Court in Scindia Steam Navigation Co. Ltd. vs. De Los Santos, 451 U.S. 156 (1981), and its progeny.

PRAYER AND CONCLUSION

THEREFORE, for the above stated reasons, Defendant KIRBY CORPORATION respectfully requests that the Plaintiff take nothing, that Defendant be discharged of all liability herein, that costs be assessed against Plaintiff and that Defendant is awarded such other and further relief to which they may be justly entitled in either law or equity.

Respectfully Submitted,

STEPP & SULLIVAN, P.C.

Dennis J. Sullivan

State Bar No. 19473750

Federal Bar No. 15100

1010 Lamar Street, Suite 810

Houston, Texas 77002

Telephone: (713) 336-7200 Facsimile: (713) 336-7250

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT

KIRBY CORPORATION

OF COUNSEL:

Jad J. Stepp Stepp & Sullivan, P.C. State Bar No. 19169100 Federal Bar No. 5856 1010 Lamar Street, Suite 810 Houston, Texas 77002

Telephone: (713) 336-7200 Facsimile: (713) 336-7250

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am a member of Stepp & Sullivan, P.C., and that a true and correct copy of Defendant Kirby Corporation's Original Answer was served on counsel of record as noted below on this, the 10 day of January 2006.

Via Facsimile: (713) 653-5656 and Certified Mail – RRR

Mr. Francis I. Spagnoletti Spagnoletti & Co. 1600 Smith, 45th Floor Houston, Texas 77002

Dennis J. Sullivan

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS GALVESTON DIVISION

United States Courts Southern District of Texas FILED

JAN 11 2006

TIMOTHY JAMES POOLE

Michael N. Willey, Clerk of Court

VS.

888888

C.A. NO. G05651

KIRBY INLAND MARINE, LP and KIRBY CORPORATION

Pursuant to Rule 9(h) of the Federal Rules of

8 Civil Procedure - ADMIRALTY

DEFENDANT KIRBY INLAND MARINE, LP's ORIGINAL ANSWER

COMES NOW Defendant, KIRBY INLAND MARINE, LP and files its Original Answer, and would show unto this Honorable Court the following:

FIRST DEFENSE

1. Pursuant to Rule 12(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Defendant avers that venue is improper herein.

SECOND DEFENSE

2. Plaintiff has failed to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, and therefore Plaintiff's Original Complaints should be dismissed pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6).

THIRD DEFENSE

3. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing defenses, Defendant would show that the injuries alleged by Plaintiff were not incurred in the service or furtherance of this vessel, and therefore that he is unable to recover under the Jones Act as well as unable to recover maintenance and cure.

FOURTH DEFENSE

- 4. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing defenses, Defendant specifically deny the allegations of Plaintiff's Original Complaint as follows:
 - a. Defendant cannot admit nor deny the allegations of Plaintiff's residence.

 Defendant admits that its principle place of business is located in Harris

 County, Texas, which is located within the Southern District of Texas.
 - b. Defendant denies that this action may be maintained under the Jones Act as asserted in Paragraph II of Plaintiff's Original Complaint, as Plaintiff is not a Jones Act seaman as that term is defined. Plaintiff admits that this Court has admiralty and maritime jurisdiction as a result of the Longshore and Harborworkers Compensation Act, 33 U.S.C. 901.
 - c. Defendant denies to venue being proper in the Southern District of Texas,
 Galveston Division, but admits as to venue being proper in the Southern
 District of Texas, Houston Division, for this matter.
 - d. Defendant denies Plaintiff's allegations contained in paragraph IV, and alleges that Plaintiff is not an American seaman, and is not entitled to bring this action as an American seaman, and therefore is not entitled to the relief requested.
 - e. Defendant admits that it owned and operated the KIRBY 11003 on July 27, 2005, but denies that Plaintiff was injured on a vessel operating on the navigable waters of the United States.
 - f. Defendant admits that Plaintiff was an employee of Defendant on July 27, 2005, but denies that Plaintiff was acting within the course and scope of his

- employment as a seaman and in the service of the vessel at the time of this alleged incident.
- g. Defendant denies that Plaintiff was performing his regular duties in service of the vessel, as alleged in paragraph VII, and further denies the entirety of allegations contained in paragraph VII.
- h. Defendant admits that Plaintiff was working as a tankerman, but denies that Plaintiff was a member of the crew of the vessel as is alleged in paragraph VIII, and that Plaintiff was injured while performing duties in the vessel's service. Defendant denies the remainder of this paragraph in its entirety.
- i. Defendant denies the entirety of paragraph IX, as alleged by Plaintiff.
- j. Defendant alleges that some pre-existing conditions existed with regards

 Plaintiff, and denies the remainder of paragraph X as alleged by Plaintiff.
- k. Defendant denies a duty to provide maintenance and cure to Plaintiff, as

 Plaintiff is not a seaman as that term is defined. Defendant denies Plaintiff's

 allegations in paragraph XI in their entirety.
- 1. Defendant denies Plaintiff's allegations made in paragraph XII in their entirety.

FIFTH DEFENSE

5. For further answer, if such is necessary, Defendant would show that if Plaintiff was injured, such injury was a proximate result, in whole or in part, of Plaintiff's own contributory negligence and any damages to which Plaintiff is entitled should be reduced in an amount in proportion to the extent that the Plaintiff's negligence contributed to the same.

SIXTH DEFENSE

6. For further answer, if such is necessary, Defendant would show that if Plaintiff was injured, then said injury or injuries were proximately caused by or contributed to by the actions of the Plaintiff.

SEVENTH DEFENSE

7. For further answer, if such is necessary, Defendant would show that the physical condition, if any, of which Plaintiff complains was and is due to the acts and/or omissions of third persons and/or instrumentalities not under the control of the Defendant and for which Defendant is not legally responsible.

EIGHTH DEFENSE

8. For further answer, if such is necessary, Defendant would show that Plaintiff's alleged injuries and damages, if any, are solely and/or partially the result of pre-existing bodily conditions and/or prior injuries, for which Defendant is not responsible.

NINTH DEFENSE

9. For further answer, if such is necessary, Defendant would show that Plaintiff's alleged injuries and damages, if any were not caused or contributorily caused by Defendant, or anyone for whom Defendant is responsible.

TENTH DEFENSE

10. For further answer, if such is necessary, Defendant would show that Plaintiff's alleged injuries and damages, if any, are solely and/or partially the result of subsequent bodily conditions and/or subsequent injuries, for which this Defendant is not responsible.

ELEVENTH DEFENSE

11. For further answer, if such is necessary, Defendant would show that Plaintiff's claims against Defendant are governed exclusively by the Longshore and Harbor Worker's Compensation Act (the LHWCA) 33 U.S.C. § 901, et. Seq., as construed by the U.S. Supreme Court in Scindia Steam Navigation Co. Ltd. vs. De Los Santos, 451 U.S. 156 (1981), and its progeny.

PRAYER AND CONCLUSION

THEREFORE, for the above stated reasons, Defendant KIRBY INLAND MARINE, LP respectfully requests that the Plaintiff take nothing, that Defendant be discharged of all liability herein, that costs be assessed against Plaintiff and that Defendant is awarded such other and further relief to which they may be justly entitled in either law or equity.

Respectfully Submitted,

STEPP & SULLIVAN, P.C.

Dennis J. Sullivan

State Bar No. 19473750

Federal Bar No. 15100

1010 Lamar Street, Suite 810

Houston, Texas 77002

Telephone: (713) 336-7200 Facsimile: (713) 336-7250

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT KIRBY INLAND MARINE, LP

OF COUNSEL:

Jad J. Stepp Stepp & Sullivan, P.C. State Bar No. 19169100 Federal Bar No. 5856 1010 Lamar Street, Suite 810 Houston, Texas 77002

Telephone: (713) 336-7200 Facsimile: (713) 336-7250

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am a member of Stepp & Sullivan, P.C., and that a true and correct copy of Defendant Kirby Inland Marine, LP's Original Answer was served on counsel of record as noted below on this, the 10 day of January 2006.

Via Facsimile: (713) 653-5656 and Certified Mail – RRR

Mr. Francis I. Spagnoletti Spagnoletti & Co. 1600 Smith, 45th Floor Houston, Texas 77002

Dennis J. Sullivan

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS GALVESTON DIVISION

| TIMOTHY JAMES POOLE | § | |
|-----------------------------|---|--------------------------|
| | § | |
| VS. | § | C.A. NO. G05651 |
| | § | |
| KIRBY INLAND MARINE, LP and | § | Pursuant to Rule 9(h) of |
| KIRBY CORPORATION | § | the Federal Rules of |
| | § | Civil Procedure – AD |

AFFIDAVIT OF DENNIS J. SULLIVAN

STATE OF TEXAS §

COUNTY OF HARRIS §

BEFORE ME, the undersigned Notary Public, duly commissioned and qualified in and for the aforesaid state and county, personally came and appeared Dennis J. Sullivan, who upon being duly sworn did state as follows:

- 1. My name is Dennis J. Sullivan. I am over 21 years of age, of sound mind and body, and fully competent to make this affidavit. The statements herein are based on my personal knowledge as Stepp & Sullivan P.C., counsel for Defendants Kirby Inland Marine, LP and Kirby Corporation.
- 2. I am an attorney licensed to practice in the State of Texas.
- 3. I have prepared this Affidavit in support of Defendants Kirby Inland Marine, LP and Kirby Corporation's Motion for Summary Judgment. All exhibits are true and correct copies of the deposition transcripts and pleadings filed with the court.
- 4. I further state everything in the attached Motion for Summary Judgment is true and correct.

FURTHER AFFIANT SAYETH NOT.

SWORN TO AND SUBSCRIBED BEFORE ME, the undersigned authority, this day of

, 2006.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS GALVESTON DIVISION

| TIMOTHY JAMES POOLE |) | C.A. NO. G05651 |
|-------------------------|-----|-----------------------------|
| VS. |) | |
| |) | Pursuant to Rule 9(h) of |
| KIRBY INLAND MARINE, LP |) | The Federal Rules of |
| and KIRBY CORPORATION |) | Civil Procedure - ADMIRALTY |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| ***** | *** | ***** |

ORAL DEPOSITION OF TIMOTHY JAMES POOLE May 9, 2006

Reported by: LORRAINE BRAZIL, CSR, RPR, CRR, RMR
Job No. 57339



CORPORATE OFFICE 6575 West Loop South, Suite 580 Houston / Bellaire, Texas 77401 713*667*0763

DALLAS 15150 Preston, Suite 300 Dallas, Texas 75248 214•747•0763 CORPUS CHRISTI
711 N. Carancahua, Suite 700
Corpus Christi, Texas 78475
361-882-0763

1-800-666-0763 • www.sunbeltreporting.com

| 1 | INDEX | |
|----|--|----------|
| 2 | P Appearances | AGE 3 |
| 3 | | |
| | TIMOTHY JAMES POOLE | |
| 4 | EXAMINATION BY MR. SULLIVAN | 4 |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | Changes and Signature | 84 85 |
| 7 | Reporter's Supplemental Certificate | 86 |
| 8 | EXHIBITS | |
| 9 | NO. DESCRIPTION P | AGE |
| 10 | 1 River Oaks Imaging patient history form, two pages | 63 |
| 11 | 2 Kirby Inland Marine health history questionnaire, page 1 | 66 |
| 12 | 3 Kirby Inland Marine health history questionnaire, page 2 | |
| | 4 Kirby Inland Marine health history questionnaire, | |
| 13 | page 3 | |
| 14 | page 4 | 67 |
| 15 | page 5 | 69 |
| 16 | 7 Kirby Inland Marine health history questionnaire, page 6 | 71 |
| 17 | 8 Kirby Inland Marine health history questionnaire, page 7 | 72 |
| 18 | 9 Kirby Inland Marine health history questionnaire, page 8 | |
| | 10 Kirby Inland Marine health history questionnaire, | |
| 19 | page 9 | 74 |
| 20 | page 10 | 74 |
| 21 | page 11 | 75 |
| 22 | 13 Kirby Inland Marine health history questionnaire, page 12 | 76 |
| 23 | 14 Kirby Inland Marine health history questionnaire, page 13 | 77 |
| | 15 Kirby Logistics management equipment list, 10-6-04 | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | : |
| | | |

Sunbelt Reporting & Litigation Services Houston Austin Corpus Christi Dallas/Fort Worth

```
ORAL DEPOSITION OF TIMOTHY JAMES POOLE, produced as
 1
 2
    a witness at the instance of the DEFENDANT, and duly
 3
    sworn, was taken in the above-styled and numbered cause
    on May 9, 2006, from 10:10 a.m. to 12:25 p.m., before
 4
 5
    LORRAINE BRAZIL, CSR, RPR, CRR, RMR, in and for the
 6
    State of Texas, reported at the offices of Rome, Arata &
    Baxley, L.L.C., 1506 E. Broadway, Suite 103, Pearland,
 7
 8
    Texas, Houston, Texas, pursuant to the Federal Rules of
 9
    Civil Procedure and the provisions stated in the record
10
    or attached hereto.
11
12
                       APPEARANCES
13
    FOR THE PLAINTIFF:
14
         Mr. Bristol A. Baxley
15
         Rome, Arata & Baxley, L.L.C.
          1506 East Broadway
16
         Suite 103
         Pearland, Texas 77581
17
         281-993-0035
18
    FOR THE DEFENDANT:
19
         Mr. Dennis J. Sullivan
         Stepp & Sullivan, P.C.
         1010 Lamar
20
         Suite 810
21
         Houston, Texas 77002
          713-336-7250
22
23
24
25
```

| 1 | | TIMOTHY JAMES POOLE, |
|----|-----------|---|
| 2 | having be | een first duly sworn, testified as follows: |
| 3 | | EXAMINATION |
| 4 | QUESTIONS | S BY MR. SULLIVAN: |
| 5 | Q | Can you please state your name for me? |
| 6 | Α | Timothy James Poole. |
| 7 | Q | And what's your current address? |
| 8 | Α | 3313 Park Haven. |
| 9 | Q | How long have you lived there? |
| 10 | Α | Four years. |
| 11 | Q | How old are you? |
| 12 | A | 40 years old. |
| 13 | Q | And what's your date of birth? |
| 14 | Α | 3-25-1966. |
| 15 | Q | Do you have any children? |
| 16 | Α | Yes, sir. |
| 17 | Q | How many do you have? |
| 18 | Α | I got two stepkids and two sons. |
| 19 | Q | What are their names and how old are they? |
| 20 | Α | Ryan is 19, Heather is 14. Alexander is 10 |
| 21 | going to | be 10 and James is 6. |
| 22 | Q | And do they all live with you? |
| 23 | Α | Just three of them. |
| 24 | Q | Okay. Which ones live with you? |
| 25 | Α | Ryan, Heather, and James. |
| | | |

Sunbelt Reporting & Litigation Services Houston Austin Corpus Christi Dallas/Fort Worth

| 1 | C | ì | And the fourth child is? |
|----|-------|----------|--|
| 2 | A | A | Alexander, he lives with his mom. |
| 3 | C | Ĵ | Okay. What's your Social Security number? |
| 4 | A | 4 | 460-35-2151. |
| 5 | C | l | And do you have a Texas driver's license? |
| 6 | A | A | Yes, sir. |
| 7 | G | ì | Do you know that number? |
| 8 | A | 4 | 11429768. |
| 9 | C | ì | What how many times have you been married? |
| 10 | A | ١ | Twice. |
| 11 | C |) | When were you married the first time? |
| 12 | A | \ | We got married in '92. |
| 13 | C |) | And |
| 14 | A | ١. | Divorced in '97. |
| 15 | a | ì | And how about the second time? |
| 16 | A | ١ | Got married in '98. |
| 17 | C | Į | And you're still married? |
| 18 | A | ١ | Yes, sir. |
| 19 | 0 | l | Have you ever been in the military? |
| 20 | A | ١. | No. |
| 21 | Q | l | Have you ever tried to get into the military |
| 22 | but b | een | denied? |
| 23 | A | ١ | No. |
| 24 | Q | ł | Did you graduate from high school? |
| 25 | A | l | Yes, sir. |
| | | | |

Sunbelt Reporting & Litigation Services Houston Austin Corpus Christi Dallas/Fort Worth

| | <u>}</u> | |
|----|-------------|--|
| 1 | Q W | here did you graduate from high school at? |
| 2 | A R | obert E. Lee in Baytown. |
| 3 | Q D | id you do any college work after that? |
| 4 | A Y | es, sir. |
| 5 | Q W | here did you go to school? |
| 6 | A I | went to Lee College for a couple years but |
| 7 | then I qui | t to get married. |
| 8 | Q W | hat were you studying over at Lee College? |
| 9 | A B | asics, I was going to take political science. |
| 10 | Q W | ere you going to school at night or going to |
| 11 | school ful | l-time? |
| 12 | A G | oing to school full-time. |
| 13 | Q H | ow many hours did you get over at Lee College? |
| 14 | A I | think anywhere from 15 to 20 semester hours' |
| 15 | credit. | |
| 16 | Q WI | nat other kind of formal training or schooling |
| 17 | have you ha | ad besides high school and Lee College? |
| 18 | A I | went to San Jac to get a certificate in |
| 19 | chemical p | rocessing technology. |
| 20 | Q WI | nen did you do that? |
| 21 | A I | want to say it was '94. |
| 22 | Q OI | kay. Has there been anything else? |
| 23 | A No |). |
| 24 | Q A | ll right. Have you had any formal training as |
| 25 | part of a | job? |
| | | |

| 1 | A Meaning? |
|----|--|
| 2 | Q Did you go through a formal training class or |
| 3 | formal training procedure at Kirby? |
| 4 | A Yes, sir. |
| 5 | Q Did you do that with any other employer, |
| 6 | something similar? |
| 7 | A I'm trying to think. Not that I'm aware of, |
| 8 | no. |
| 9 | Q Once you well, what was your first, quote, |
| 10 | real job? I mean not working at McDonald's part-time |
| 11 | during high school or anything like that but |
| 12 | A Construction work, building scaffolds and forms |
| 13 | back in the late Eighties, early Nineties. |
| 14 | Q Who was that for? |
| 15 | A H. B. Zachry. |
| 16 | Q All right. When did you leave them? Do you |
| 17 | remember? |
| 18 | A Early Nineties. |
| 19 | Q What's the next job you had? |
| 20 | A Went to work for Motion Industries. |
| 21 | Q And doing what? |
| 22 | A Delivery driver at first, delivering bearings |
| 23 | to chemical plants and stuff like that, then I went to |
| 24 | inside sales. |
| 25 | Q How long were you there? |
| | |

| 1 | A | I want to say I was there for two and a half |
|----|-----------|--|
| 2 | years may | ybe. |
| 3 | Q | Why did you leave that job? |
| 4 | Α | Me and my ex-wife got separated, so I quit. |
| 5 | For some | reason, I got depressed, didn't show up. They |
| 6 | got rid | of me. |
| 7 | Q | What's the next job you had? |
| 8 | A | After that, I went to I was working at Car |
| 9 | Quest. | |
| 10 | Q | Doing what? |
| 11 | Α | Parts salesman. |
| 12 | Q | How long were you there? |
| 13 | Α | I want to say about seven months. |
| 14 | Q | And where did you go after that? |
| 15 | Α | After that, I got remarried and we moved up to |
| 16 | Normange | e. |
| 17 | Q | Where is Normangee? |
| 18 | Α | It's north of Huntsville. |
| 19 | Q | How long did you live in Normangee? |
| 20 | Α | Three years. |
| 21 | Q | Were you working up there? |
| 22 | A | Yes. |
| 23 | Q | What you were doing? |
| 24 | A | I went to work for ALMCO. |
| 25 | Q | Doing what? |
| | | |

Sunbelt Reporting & Litigation Services Houston Austin Corpus Christi Dallas/Fort Worth

| 1 | A | Fixing windows, making windows. |
|----|----------|---|
| 2 | Q | How long did you have that job? |
| 3 | Α | About a year. |
| 4 | Q | And then what did you do? |
| 5 | Α | I went to work for Grant Pride Co. |
| 6 | Q | Doing what? |
| 7 | A | Moving oil field pipes around and forklifts. |
| 8 | Q | And how long did you stay there? |
| 9 | A | About six months. |
| 10 | Q | And after that, where did you go? |
| 11 | A | I was a ranch foreman. |
| 12 | Q | For who? |
| 13 | A | For Michael Brown. |
| 14 | Q | Is it a private ranch? |
| 15 | Α | Yes. |
| 16 | Q | How long where is that ranch at? |
| 17 | A | It's right there in Normangee. |
| 18 | Q | How long were you there? |
| 19 | A | Probably about two and a half years. |
| 20 | Q | Where did you go after that job? |
| 21 | A | We moved back down near to Deer Park. |
| 22 | Q | Why did you do that? |
| 23 | A | Terry wanted to be closer to her mom and dad. |
| 24 | Q | Once you came when did you move back down to |
| 25 | Deer Par | k? |
| | | |

| 1 | Α | In let's see, October 2003. |
|----|-----------|--|
| 2 | Q | Did you get a job down here? |
| 3 | A | Yeah. |
| 4 | Q | Where did you start work? |
| 5 | A | I started working security guard at Exxon for |
| 6 | Dave Zim | merman. |
| 7 | Q | How long were you doing that? |
| 8 | A | About a year. |
| 9 | Q | Where did you go after that? |
| 10 | A | Went to the City of La Porte. |
| 11 | Q | What were you doing at City of La Porte? |
| 12 | Α | I worked in the sewer and water department. |
| 13 | Q | Doing what? |
| 14 | Α | Repairing lines, fixing the taps for the sewer |
| 15 | line. | |
| 16 | Q | And how long did you have that job? |
| 17 | Α | Year and a half. |
| 18 | Q | And then where did you go after that? |
| 19 | Α | I went to Kirby. |
| 20 | Q | When do you know when your start date at |
| 21 | Kirby was | s? |
| 22 | Α | I want to say it was in October of '99. |
| 23 | Q | Okay. |
| 24 | Α | Not '99. I mean, 2004. |
| 25 | Q | 2004? |
| | | |

| 1 | A (Witness nods head.) |
|----|--|
| 2 | Q How did you how did you find Kirby? |
| 3 | A A family friend of one of Terry's parents' |
| 4 | friend, Karen, works for them downtown, the insurance. |
| 5 | She told me about them. |
| 6 | Q Okay. Who's the family friend? |
| 7 | A Karen. I can't remember her last name. |
| 8 | Q Okay. When okay. How did you I guess |
| 9 | how did you decide to come and apply with Kirby? You |
| 10 | just heard they were hiring? You just decided you |
| 11 | wanted to do this type of work? |
| 12 | A I wanted to make money. I wasn't make enough |
| 13 | money at the City of La Porte to make ends meet. She |
| 14 | told me they pay pretty good. |
| 15 | Q Did you have any idea of what you wanted to do |
| 16 | at Kirby when you went to apply? |
| 17 | A Yeah. I told them I didn't want to go on the |
| 18 | boats. |
| 19 | Q Okay. And why didn't you want to go on the |
| 20 | boat? |
| 21 | A Because I wanted to spend time with my wife and |
| 22 | my kids. |
| 23 | Q While at Kirby, were you ever assigned to a |
| 24 | boat? |
| 25 | A No. |
| | |

| 1 | Q | Did you ever sleep onboard a boat? |
|----|-----------|--|
| 2 | Α | No. |
| 3 | Q | Were you ever a crew member of a boat? |
| 4 | Α | No. |
| 5 | Q | When you went in to apply and you told them you |
| 6 | didn't w | ant to be on a boat, what did they tell you they |
| 7 | could do | for you? |
| 8 | A | They said they could put me with their |
| 9 | shoresid | e division. |
| 10 | Q | And that is what they did? |
| 11 | A | Yes. |
| 12 | Q | Where did you go to fill out your application? |
| 13 | A | At their office right there on Market Street. |
| 14 | Q | Okay. And once you filled out your |
| 15 | applicat | ion, what did you do or what did they do with |
| 16 | you? | |
| 17 | Α | They called me back for an interview with |
| 18 | Augie. | |
| 19 | Q | And what happened after that interview? |
| 20 | A | They sent me down to get a physical and drug |
| 21 | tested. | |
| 22 | Q | And then after you got your physical and drug |
| 23 | test, wha | at happened then? |
| 24 | A | They sent me up there to talk to Chris Mooney |
| 25 | and Cal. | |
| | | |

| 1 | Q And are they with Kirby Logistics? |
|----|--|
| 2 | A Yes, sir. |
| 3 | Q What's your understanding of what Kirby |
| 4 | Logistics is? |
| 5 | A Shoreside tankerman division. |
| 6 | Q When you say a "shoreside tankerman," what do |
| 7 | you mean by "shoreside tankerman"? |
| 8 | A Means you go from dock to dock every day |
| 9 | working on barges. |
| 10 | Q Is there any well, skip that. |
| 11 | Once you talked to Cal Kittly and the |
| 12 | folks over at Kirby Logistics, what happened then? |
| 13 | A Watched a film for about four days, safety |
| 14 | films, stuff like that, in the office. |
| 15 | Q Did you go through deckhand training, anything |
| 16 | like that? |
| 17 | A Yeah, she sent me to a week of that, the |
| 18 | training center. |
| 19 | Q And did you pass that? |
| 20 | A Yeah. |
| 21 | Q And then what was your when you came out of |
| 22 | your training, what was your designation? |
| 23 | A Tankerman trainee. |
| 24 | Q And how long were you a tankerman trainee? |
| 25 | A About a month. |
| | |

| 1 | |
|----|--|
| 1 | Q After you were a tankerman trainee, did you get |
| 2 | a promotion or did your designation change? |
| 3 | A Well, as I did the month training, I went |
| 4 | through the training center for the tankerman class with |
| 5 | the Coast Guard. After I got out of that, I got |
| 6 | promoted. |
| 7 | Q What was your designation after that tankerman |
| 8 | class? |
| 9 | A Tankerman. |
| 10 | Q Okay. Were you are there different levels |
| 11 | of tankerman, for shoreside tankerman? |
| 12 | A No. |
| 13 | Q Okay. Do you know if there's different levels |
| 14 | of tankerman on the boats? |
| 15 | A Not that I know of, no. |
| 16 | Q Okay. So you weren't designated tankerman |
| 17 | level one, tankerman level two, tankerman level three, |
| 18 | anything like? |
| 19 | A I was designated tankerman. |
| 20 | Q When okay. |
| 21 | You don't have any, I'll say, |
| 22 | life-threatening-type illnesses or diseases such as |
| 23 | cancer, HIV, blood diseases, hepatitis, anything like |
| 24 | that, do you? |
| 25 | A Not that I know of, no. |
| | |

| 1 | Q | You're not under the care of a psychiatrist or |
|----|----------|--|
| 2 | psychol | ogist, are you? |
| 3 | Α | No. |
| 4 | Q | Have you ever been under the care of a |
| 5 | psychol | ogist or psychiatrist? |
| 6 | Α | No. |
| 7 | Q | In the past, have you had injuries to your |
| 8 | back? | |
| 9 | Α | No. |
| 10 | Q | Okay. Have you received medical treatment for |
| 11 | pain in | your back? |
| 12 | Α | Not that I'm aware of, no. |
| 13 | Q | Okay. How many surgeries to your knees have |
| 14 | you had | ? |
| 15 | Α | Two. |
| 16 | Q | What knees have you had surgeries on? |
| 17 | Α | Left. |
| 18 | Q | What were those surgeries? |
| 19 | Α | ACL replacements. |
| 20 | Q | Both of them were ACL replacements? |
| 21 | Α | Yes, sir. |
| 22 | Q | When were they when did you have your |
| 23 | surgerie | es to your left knees? |
| 24 | Α | I want to say '92 or '93 and then '95 or '96. |
| 25 | Q | Okay. The '92 surgery, what was the how did |
| | | |

Sunbelt Reporting & Litigation Services Houston Austin Corpus Christi Dallas/Fort Worth

1 it come about that you needed surgery? I was playing volleyball at a company picnic 2 3 and a guy landed on my knee and snapped the ligament. Q Sounds painful just by hearing it. 4 5 It was. Α 6 Q How long did it take you to get your treatment 7 and recuperate? 8 Α About six months. 9 Q Who was your surgeon back then? Do you 10 remember? 11 Α Not offhand, no. 12 Q Was it here in Houston? 13 Α I want to say it's Pasadena. I think it was at 14 Bayshore. 15 Q Were you happy with the results of the surgery? 16 Α No. 17 Q Why not? 18 Α Because the knee kept popping, and I kept 19 having pain after the therapy and everything. 20 Q And why did you have a second surgery in '95? 21 Because I went to a specialist and they did 22 another MRI and found out when he replaced the ligament, he put it in at an angle instead of straight up and 23 24 down, so he had to go in there endoscopically and repair 25 it.

| 1 | Q And how long were you off work for that second | |
|----|---|--|
| 2 | surgery? | |
| 3 | A I wasn't off work. I was still on light | |
| 4 | duty not light duty, but I was inside sales. I | |
| 5 | didn't have to go out and really do much work. | |
| 6 | Q Were you taking off work at all? | |
| 7 | A Just for the surgery. | |
| 8 | Q How long before your knee healed from that | |
| 9 | surgery? | |
| 10 | A I want to say after the second surgery, about | |
| 11 | two or three months because he just went in endoscopic. | |
| 12 | He didn't have to cut the whole thing out and take the | |
| 13 | knee apart like he did the first time. | |
| 14 | Q Now, were you happy with the results of the | |
| 15 | second surgery? | |
| 16 | A Yeah. | |
| 17 | Q Okay. Do you have any problems with your left | |
| 18 | knee today? | |
| 19 | A Sometimes when it gets cold it acts up but, no. | |
| 20 | Q Did the left knee did your left knee play | |
| 21 | any part in the fall that you had on the barge when you | |
| 22 | were working for Kirby | |
| 23 | A No. | |
| 24 | Q that we're here in this lawsuit about? | |
| 25 | A No. | |
| | | |

| 1 | Q Have you had carpal tunnel surgery? |
|----|--|
| 2 | A Yes, sir. |
| 3 | Q And have you had that surgery in both your |
| 4 | right and left hands? |
| 5 | A Yes, sir. |
| 6 | Q When did you have that done? |
| 7 | A I want to say like 2000, 2001. I'm not really |
| 8 | sure of exact dates. |
| 9 | Q Why did you have that done? |
| 10 | A Because my hands kept getting numb and falling |
| 11 | asleep on me. |
| 12 | Q Did your doctors tell you why that was |
| 13 | happening or what was causing it? |
| 14 | A He said nerves inside somewhere in here was |
| 15 | getting pinched. It was carpal tunnel. |
| 16 | Q Did they do both hands at the same time? |
| 17 | A Yes, sir. |
| 18 | Q How long did it take for you to heal after |
| 19 | those surgeries? |
| 20 | A I would say about a month, month and a half. |
| 21 | Q Do you have any of those problems with your |
| 22 | hands anymore? |
| 23 | A No. |
| 24 | Q Were was that done under workers' comp or |
| 25 | anything? |
| | |

| 1 | A No. |
|----|--|
| 2 | Q The ACL repairs, was that done under workers' |
| 3 | comp? |
| 4 | A No. |
| 5 | Q Did you just have personal health insurance to |
| 6 | help you out to pay for that? |
| 7 | A Yes, sir. |
| 8 | Q Any other surgeries to your hands? |
| 9 | A I had a cyst removed from the wrist and I had |
| 10 | trigger finger on my right here. |
| 11 | Q Of your right wrist? |
| 12 | A Yes, sir. |
| 13 | Q Right hand surgery or right okay. When did |
| 14 | you have a cyst removed? |
| 15 | A I want to say it was 2002, 2003 maybe. |
| 16 | Q Okay. Did you ever have a bone graft? |
| 17 | A Yeah, that's what I am talking about. |
| 18 | Q And what did they actually do to your hand |
| 19 | during that surgery? |
| 20 | A As far as what I was told, see, got a little |
| 21 | slash here and drilled out part of the bone in there and |
| 22 | packed with some kind of bone marrow something. |
| 23 | Q Okay. When did that happen? |
| 24 | A I want to say 2002, 2003. |
| 25 | Q How long did it take that to heal? |
| | |

Sunbelt Reporting & Litigation Services Houston Austin Corpus Christi Dallas/Fort Worth